

#### **Against Lee Hsien Loong**

• Allegation: PM Lee misused his power to prevent the house from being demolished

**PM's response:** Denied the "baseless" allegations, will refute them in a ministerial statement in Parliament tomorrow

• Allegation: PM Lee made contradictory statements about their father's wishes and the house in public and private



Ms Indranee Rajah's response: Notes that Mr Lee Kuan Yew's last will specifically accepts and acknowledges that

demolition may not take place.

• Allegation: Did not challenge the last will in court when probate was granted

PM's response: Wanted to avoid a public fight that would tarnish the family name

 Allegation: Used position as PM to obtain deed of gift on Mr Lee's

Mr Lawrence Wong's response: PM obtained deed in official capacity, but would also have been entitled to it in private capacity

 Allegation: Used position to influence "secret" ministerial committee considering options for the house

**DPM Teo Chee Hean's response:** Set up and chairs the ministerial committee; says PM Lee has recused himself from all decisions about the house

Against Li Hongyi

Allegation: His parents harbour

Mr Li's response: Says he really

has no interest in politics; PM Lee

and his wife Ho Ching also deny the

political ambitions for him

"absurd claim"



Numerous allegations have been made in the ongoing feud between Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong and his siblings, from misuse of power to a conflict of interest in preparing the late Mr Lee Kuan Yew's last will. Insight charts the claims and accusations in the dispute over the fate of 38, Oxley Road.

What Lee Hsien Yang

and Lee Wei Ling say



**Against Ho Ching** 

- Allegation: Has a pervasive influence on government, well beyond her job scope
- Allegation: Removed the late Mr Lee's items from house without approval; represented the Prime Minister's Office despite not holding official position

Ms Ho Ching's response: Kept Lee family informed when she tidied up the house and found items with heritage value that she passed to National Heritage Board



Against K. Shanmugam

 Allegation: Conflict of interest being on ministerial committee, having advised the late Mr Lee and family about the house

Mr Shanmugam's response: Calls the claim ridiculous; says nothing he said precluded him from serving in committee. He was also a minister when consulted by the family, so no basis to say he can't be on committee



**Against Teo Chee Hean** 

• Allegation: Committee focused solely on challenging validity of demolition clause in Mr Lee's will **DPM Teo's response:** Not true that

committee bent on preventing demolition of the house • Allegation: Committee did not

disclose options in prior exchanges, only identified members and its terms of reference when "forced into the daylight"

**DPM Teo's response:** Nothing secret about committee; it is like numerous other committees Cabinet sets up to consider specific



**DPM Tharman** Shanmugaratnam's response: Cabinet has numerous committees on whole range of issues, to help think

• Allegation: Suggested to DPM Teo that the house be demolished after Dr Lee's departure, and a memorial garden be built in its place, but DPM Teo was reluctant

through difficult choices

**DPM Teo's response:** Mr Lee Hsien Yang mistaken that he expressed reluctance about a memorial park. Since he seems supportive of intermediate options for the house, no need to disagree on studying options for house



### **Against Lawrence Wong**


• Allegation: Changed his mind about proceeding with a component of the exhibition, despite NHB having signed deed of

Mr Wong's response: Says deed of gift came with unusual conditions, like only displaying the first half of the demolition clause, and that the executors could buy back all the items for \$1, so long as the house was not demolished



**Against Lucien Wong** 

• Allegation: PM Lee's personal lawyer made Attorney-General in 2017





**Hsien Loong** 

says





# **Against Lee Wei Ling**

• Allegation: Harboured grave suspicions about her share of estate being reduced from sixth will to last will, that Mr Lee Hsien Yang and his wife had a hand in doing so. Later claimed she no longer held this suspicion without explaining why

**Dr Lee's response:** Said PM Lee and his wife were mischievous and dishonest to use select quotes to suggest Mr Lee Hsien Yang and his wife were trying to cheat her in the last will

# **Against Lee Suet Fern**

- Allegation: As wife of beneficiary Lee Hsien Yang, an interested party who, through her law firm, helped prepare the last will in great haste
- Allegation: Said she did not want to be involved in preparing last will, but was intimately involved
- So far she has not spoken in response to the allegations



# **Against Lee Hsien Yang**

 Allegation: PM Lee highlights deeply troubling circumstances surrounding preparation of final will, raises serious doubts about whether the late Mr Lee was properly and independently advised on its contents, including the reinsertion of the demolition clause that was removed in the fifth and sixth wills

Mr Lee Hsien Yang's response: Final will not drafted by Stamford Law Corporation. It was engrossed on his father's express instructions to revert to first will, and his father read final will carefully and initialled every page

- Allegation: Mr Lee Hsien Yang and Dr Lee threatened to escalate attacks, coinciding with GE2015
- Allegation: Unclear what knowledge Mr Lee Hsien Yang and his wife had of the first to sixth wills
- Allegation: Mr Lee Hsien Yang insisted on immediate demolition of house during reading of last will