Gaming the system

Unacceptable practice

Place employee on no-pay leave or retrench

employee without change to mandatory

employee CPF contribution. Cut employee's monthly wage without change

to mandatory employee CPF contribution. Increase employee's mandatory CPF

Employee receives mandatory CPF contribution from an employer he does not work for or

contribution but monthly wage stays the same.

employer makes CPF contributions for a non-genuine employee.

Ask employee to return a part of monthly wage

For employees earning more than \$4.600, pay the first \$4,600 directly, but inform them that

the remaining amount will be paid by a related

business entity that they do not work for.

for the month in cash, without change to mandatory CPF contribution.

actual wages paid to employees.

Result

Fraudulent arrangement. Employers should make only the right amount of mandatory CPF contributions based on

to circumvent the \$4,600 salary ceiling.

Employers should make mandatory CPF contributions to

employees for only the business entities they work for, instead

of artifically splitting employees' wages across related entities

By making mandatory CPF contributions that are not

reflective of actual wages paid, the employer receives

Fraudulent arrangement. Individuals who provide their

more Jobs Support Scheme payout than it is entitled to.

exchange for mandatory CPF contributions and/or money.

information such as NRIC. SingPass or bank account details in

personal information to employers to facilitate such schemes may make themselves accomplices to the fraud and criminally liable. Individuals should not give out their personal

Source: INLAND REVENUE AUTHORITY OF SINGAPORE

STRAITS TIMES GRAPHICS